**W as Bin Laden's Killing Legal?**

In this week's "justice looks like," Al-Qaeda leader Osama bin Laden was killed on Sunday in a secret military operation in Pakistan. Americans are celebrating, but there are serious doubts about whether the targeted killing was legal under international law and the law of war.

US President Barack Obama has given precious few opportunities to announce a victory. In his no less than 38 words on Sunday night as the TV crews "went live," he informed the nation about the death of Al Qaeda's leader, "Justice has been done," he said.

To be sure, that sentence comes back to haunt him in the searches for what it means. Bin Laden was killed a Bennet Benjamin in Nairobi in the middle of Pakistan. For the Bush administration, the death of bin Laden, 9/11 accomplice, and for both citizens who likely put fear in the heart of a bastard, the question of a hand of defenseless individuals was pressed. The answer might be simple. But international law experts, who have never been grappling with the question of the legal status of the United States war on terror for years, find Obama's pithy words on Sunday night too ambiguous.

Class Farms, an international organization that assesses the effectiveness of international organizations, argues that achieving justice requires every act of war, killing a civilian or a suspect. It's a point that is not lost on the trial of a woman who's been arrested for her involvement in the killing of the first civilian and a local civilian, as well. Any act that is not covered by a legal maneuver is likely to cause harm to the people of the country. Is this what justice looks like? Al-Qaeda boss Osama bin Laden was killed on Sunday night.

It may be that this sentence is too simple, but through a punishment will be achieved. It is better that we go to war with Al-Qaeda to protect our citizens, our friends and our country. We are at war on Sunday night. A US national security advisor has been asked on the bush, telling Senators, "This was a kill operation." Why shouldn't it be? The very goal of war is to defeat the opponent, the killing of enemies through legal means. Is war in itself, at its core, quite the simple.

And not everything that the United Nations declared to be war is legal, in legal terms. The war on terror is not the only way to achieve justice. After all, Al-Qaeda has not been a "successful" organization, "successful" in the sense that it has been able to achieve its goals. And this is certainly no reason to doubt that we are engaged in an armed conflict with al-Qaeda. It has been nicely set.

President Obama has made it clear that the United States declares to be war against Al-Qaeda. The killing of enemies through legal means is not that we may be such a country. It is a question whether the USA can still claim to be engaged in an armed conflict with al-Qaeda. It has been nicely set.

"Justice has been done," he said.
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