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Drivers of AMR – generically One Health

Meat, milk, eggs

Contaminated greens

Animal contact

Use of antimicrobials

Contaminated water
Designing an AMR monitoring system

• An appropriate design should consider
  – What are the most significant drivers of AMR in the local setting?
  – What are the most likely sources of AMR exposure in humans?
  – And focus on those or some of those

• DANMAP is an example of a monitoring system that fits the Danish setting, but needs adaptation for use in other locations with different infrastructures
Danmap
-Scientific objectives for surveillance

1. **Follow trends over time** for AMR and AMU
   - To enable response at unusual events/increases
   - To measure effect of interventions against AMR

2. **Spot new & emerging resistance**
   - To enable early response/investigation
   - As early warning between species
   - To adjust surveillance design for new situation

3. **Maintain isolate & gene bank**
   - For retrospective analysis and investigations
   - For research
DANMAP – AMR in food animals

• Sampling unit is flock/herd
  – Herd/flock of origin is identifiable at slaughter

• Most samples are collected at slaughter
  – Sampling all months to avoid seasonal effects
    – Systematic random sampling approach
    – Requires knowledge of annual throughput of slaughterhouses participating
    – Coverage: 90-95 pct. of the national production of slaughter animals
    – Verification that a flock or herd is included only once

• Exception: samples for testing for Salmonella in broilers, layers and turkeys are collected on farm as part of AM meat inspection

• Exception: samples for Campylobacter collected only during summer
DANMAP – samples from food

• Random sampling

• Routinely, only fresh meat is sampled
  – Samples of beef, pork and chicken collected in retail outlets
    • 1-2 samples per outlet
  – All areas of country included
  – All months included

• Ready to eat greens: ad hoc as specific projects

• Note:
  – EU framework for monitoring AMR in animals and in food
    • Sampling
    • Lab methods
DANMAP – samples from humans

- Passive surveillance, based on diagnostic submissions
  - *Salmonella, Campylobacter*
    - Discrimination between domestic and imported cases
  - *E. coli* associated with disease
  - Other important (non-zoonotic) human pathogens

- Extraction of data from databases with national coverage
  - hospitals
  - general practice
  - All data included (ie no sampling)
Monitoring use of antimicrobials

• Basic information required
  – Type of antimicrobial
    • Not all are equally potent by weight
    • Type needed to map to AMR
  – Quantity of active compound in formulation
  – Target – human or animals (and species if possible)

• NOTES:
  • Knowledge of quantities of AM used is important, but the knowledge becomes really useful if denominator information can be included

• Use of antimicrobials is an important driver for AMR, but horizontal spread of AMR microorganisms is highly important also
All antibiotics are sold through licensed outlets - data collected automatically at point-of-sale
Overview of methods used in Denmark to control AMU

**General:** all antimicrobials are prescription only, available only through licensed outlets

**Veterinary domain**
- No financial incentive to prescribe
- Banned antimicrobial growth promoters and prophylactic use
- Electronic Vetstat system captures AM use at farm level and prescribing history of individual vets – benchmarking!
- Differentiated taxes on veterinary medicines
- Treatment guidelines supported by stakeholders

**Medical domain**
- No economic incentive for doctors to prescribe antimicrobials
- Treatment guidelines
- Education of the general public
Does reduction of AMU work?

Well, sometimes apparently not

When it doesn’t, there are sometimes good explanations
Does reduction of AMU work?

Fortunately, there can also be a very visible effect

In general, preventing excessive AMR through prudent use comes highly recommended!
Measurement of AMR - AST
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Measurement of antimicrobial susceptibility – classical AST

- Determination of antimicrobial susceptibility can be done with different methods

- Tablet or disc diffusion

- Microbroth dilution

- Disc diffusion is relatively easy to set up, but standardization can be challenging

- Microbroth dilution (MIC) lends itself to large through-puts and to some automation; allows recording of susceptibility distributions
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Genetics based approach to AST

• Classical methods for AST measure **phenotypic** expression of resistance

• An alternative and more recent approach is to determine presence of resistance genes
  – Resistance genes are not always expressed as phenotypic resistance, however, in practice there is high concordance

• ResFinder 4.0 is a new online “AST method” - predicts phenotypic resistance on the basis of genotype

• Classical methods of AST, while considered the gold standard, do not measure AMR with 100 pct. specificity or sensitivity
In conclusion

• Monitoring AMR should be fit for purpose in the local setting
  – What are the most significant AMR reservoirs that needs monitoring?
  – What are the most likely hotspots?
  – How can they best be monitored within the economic constraints present?

• Monitoring AMU
  – How can you get a handle of quantities used?
    • Quantities imported + produced minus exports = use in country!
      Taxation?
    • Quantity of active antibiotic in formulations must be calculated
    • Break down in antibiotic groups is useful and necessary to account for differences in potency
    • Information about populations – denominator info
In conclusion

• Controlling AMU
  – Many knobs to tweak, eg.
    • Legislation to control availability – eg. licensing of outlets
    • Prudent use guidelines supported by stakeholders
    • Lay ‘guardians of antimicrobials’?
  – Prevent AMR from arising or from getting worse

• Measuring AST
  – Different methods with different pros and cons
  – In a monitoring context, stability of methods over time very important
  – Genetics based methods hold great promise
And finally

• AMR is a wicked problem!

  – An invisible foe, rarely apparent to users of antimicrobials

• The overriding concern is to change the perception of antimicrobials among end users to safeguard these potent chemicals that are so precious to human and animal health

• And while we have to combat AMR, in 2013, an estimated 5.7 mio. people globally died, because they did not have access to antibiotics!
Thank you for your attention!
Ressources on line

Susceptibility testing

http://www.genomicepidemiology.org/

• Training courses:
  – https://www.coursera.org/learn/antimicrobial-resistance

Monitoring AMU

www.aacting.org