CAR FUEL ECONOMY

Automobile Industry Perspective

I V Rao

Executive Advisor, Engineering Maruti Suzuki India Limited

Workshop Series on Transport and Climate New Delhi, 24th July 2013

WARUTI May of Life!



Contents

1. Challenge for India

2. Industry Initiatives and Improvements

3. Fuel Efficiency Regulation for India

4. Need for an All round improvement – Integrated Approach



Challenges before Auto Industry



Way of Life!



Challenges before Auto Industry









CO₂ Emission: Global Trends



CO₂ Emission trends per country from fossil fuels

India is the 3rd largest emitter for the CO₂



MARUTI SUZUKI

Way of Life!

CO2 Emission : India's Position





Source: Internal estimates of MSIL based on Fuel consumption estimates of PPAC

CO2 Contributor in Transport Sector

Road Transport Sector contributes – 8.5 % of the total CO₂ emissions

Passenger Vehicle Contribution



Way of Life!



- \circ CO₂ emitted by all cars running in India ~ 2.1%
- \circ Estimated CO₂ emitted by new cars in one year is about 0.32%

New Passenger Vehicles contributes – 0.32 % of the total CO2 emissions



Challenges before Auto Industry







Energy Security : Indian Fuel Scenario

MARUTI M SUZUKI

Way of Life!

Is The Growth in Automotive Sector in line with Our Domestic Oil Production?



Over Dependence on import is a threat for Energy Security



Challenges before Auto Industry





Emissions: Need to look at all sources





Emissions from domestic Sources – Heavy Health Penalty

Radical Changes required in our lifestyle & methods to do business needed

According to ICAP Study Auto industry not a major contributor of PM10 Emissions



Challenges before Auto Industry





Mobility Requirement In India



Way of Life!



But Demand of Personal Mobility Can't be Ignored !!!



MARUTI M SUZUKI

Way of Life!

Consumers Perspective





In India Fuel price as a Pocket Pinching factor is highest.



Fuel Efficiency is consistently among top reasons that affect the "BUYING DECISION IN INDIA"

Fuel Efficiency is already a strong competitive development parameter

SOURCE : Fuel Price - SIAM Data





Contents

1. Challenge for India

2. Industry Initiatives and Improvements

3. Fuel Efficiency Regulation for India

4. Need for an All round improvement – Integrated Approach



MARUTI SUZUKI

Way of Life!

Industry Initiatives and Improvements"

1. Technology Focus

2. Consumer Focus



Industry Initiatives - Vehicle Technology Focus Way of Life! New Model Fuel Efficiency Improvements

Engine Efficiency



Transmission Efficiency



Vehicle Weight & Shape



Rolling Resistance of Tires



Alternative Fuel







Industry improved CO2 performance by 8 % from 2007 to 2010



Vehicle Weight Reduction: Industry Initiative <u>Commitment Towards Weight Reduction</u>



Way of Life!



Weight Reduction makes business sense to reduce cost !



Impact of using low carbon Alternate fuels













Cumulative CO2 Reduction From Maruti's Alternate Fuel Vehicles

Total Cumulative CO2 Reduction, Tons



Sales of CNG Vehicles from MSIL alone saved over 1.50 lakh Ton of CO2

Source: internal data



Emissions: Future Roadmap required



Way of Life!



80 % Reduction of emissions from vehicles from 2000 till 2010 Adoption of OBD Regulations from 2013 for all categories of vehicles

Beyond 2013 no Roadmap is available for the Oil and Auto Industries to follow



Industry Initiatives – Consumer Focus

MARUTI M SUZUKI

Way of Life!

Consumer Information

- Fuel efficiency Consumer information Label started from Jan 2009
- Comparative label started from 2010



Driver Training



- Driver's can influence Fuel
 Efficiency on road by upto
 30%
- Driver education

Consumer information and education is key to achieve fuel savings in actual road conditions



Contents

1. Challenge for India

2. Industry Initiatives and Improvements

3. Fuel Efficiency Regulation for India

4. Need for an All round improvement – Integrated Approach



Fuel Efficiency Regulation for India

Industry supports the Fuel Efficiency Regulation for India

But the regulation has to:

Consider the uniqueness of Indian Industry

Consider the differences of Indian testing procedure

Consider Indian Road and Infrastructure Conditions

Consider that India adopts technology from Japan/Korea/Europe

Consider the acquisition cost and Price sensitivity of customers



Way of Life!

Indian Industry - Polarization



- Polarization of Manufacturers / Classes of vehicles/ Fuel Technologies
- Indian Auto industry needs to mature

Initial Target definition in India should consider this polarization.



Comparison of Key Test Parameters



Way of Life!

	EU	Japan	India	Effects
Road Load	Measured valu a) Lower test b) lower rolli	t mass	Higher test mass Higher rolling tyres	Higher Emissions Higher CO ₂ Emissions
Inertia Simulation	Kerb Weight + 100kgs	Kerb Weight + 110kgs	Kerb Wt + <mark>150kgs</mark>	Test with higher road load (due to 50kg extra) Inertia Weight Category may increase (~110kgs or higher) Higher emissions, CO ₂
Driving Cycle	NEDC Max Speed 120kph	JC08 Max speed <mark>82kph</mark>	Mod. IDC Max Speed 90kph	The two cycles of EU and India are equivalent. In fact Higher inertia in Indian cycle poses greater challenges
Weightage of Cold and Hot Tests	Cold: 100%	Cold :25% Hot: 75%	Cold: 100%	European and Indian CO2 test values are higher than Japan

Cycles are not comparable. Need to rationalize before comparing targets



Infrastructure : Constraint For Technology

1.Fuel Quality: Lack of High Octane Value

Due to non-availability of high quality fuel, OEMs can not develop high compression ratio engine Impact on CO2 (Per Vehicle): 4.76 g/km

2. Low Resistance Tire

Lack of right infrastructure is bottlenecks for low resistance tyres in India

Impact on CO2 (Per Vehicle): 2.83 g/km

3. Aerodynamic Design: Improve Drag (Cd)

Less Aerodynamic Vehicles due to higher ground clearance

Impact on CO2 (Per Vehicle): 1.41 g/km

Fuel Quality, Road Infrastructure limit improvements in India significantly









Source: SIAM Internal Result

Comparison of India and Japan Targets



Type of Vehicle	New BEE Proposal CO ₂ , g/km	Corrected for Cold Emission CO ₂ g/km	BEE Proposal 2011 CO ₂ , g/km
700kgs Gasoline Vehicle	91.9	104.3	104.5
1700kgs Diesel Vehicle	141.7	166.6	162.3

Targets set for India are more stringent as compared to Japan 2020 targets

MARUTI M SUZUKI

Way of Life!

Way of Life!

Technology Acquisition Cost

Evolutionary Path Revolutionary Path Technology **Over** + GDI + RR Improvement + Start Stop + CVVL **Base Engine** + Engine Friction + Micro Hybrid with + Weight Reduction **Regenerative Braking** + Aerodynamic + DVVT 140 ~23% ~ 20% ______ ~ 16% 13% Δ Technology Cost (In Rs. Thousands) 120 120 100 CO₂ Emission (In g/Km) 80 Base Vehicle CO₂ 60 50 40 20 n

Source: TNO Final Report (Modified for Indian Context)

CO2 - Technology Cost (Right Side)

Acquisition Cost is High For Revolutionary Technology, Not Relevant For Developing Country



Lead Time and Rates of Reduction in Japan

WARUTI MARUTI

2. Fuel efficiency standard 2015

Planning state of goal standards for Base year A Enforcement notification							or v	or vehicle fuel efficiency							Improvement possibility compared to base year									
			'95	'96	'97	'9 8	' 99	'00'	'01	'02	ʻ03	'04	'05	'06	'07	'08	'09	'10	'11	'12	'13	'14	'15	Improve ment*
P'nger vehicle	Petrol	1st	Â				Δ											X						22.8%
	Diesel	1st	Â				Δ																	14.9%
	Petrol Diesel	2nd										1			Δ							-	X-1	23.5%
	LPG	1st							Å		Δ							$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$					1-11-11-11-1	11.4%

Target year : Year when goal standards have to be accomplished after that Base year : Year which is adopted for example selection of top runner when goal standards were planned

Source: JAMA

Industry needs lead time to develop vehicles/Engines to meet the regulation targets

Lead time of around 9 Years has been the norm in Japan and EU



Regulation Roadmap

MARUTI M SUZUKI

Way of Life!



- Targets for 2010 and 2012 could not be defined.
- Considering Lead times for development, India is loosing time to get its first Fuel Efficiency regulation
- FE Regulation definition is not a one time affair
 Should conclude the first phases of Regulation



Contents

1. Challenge for India

2. Industry Initiatives and Improvements

3. Fuel Efficiency Regulation for India

4. Need for an All round improvement – Integrated Approach





More than 70% of fuel consumption can be attributed to :

Driver behavior

Road infrastructure and driving conditions





THE	тім	ES O	F INDI	A Delhi	6			
Home City	India Wor	ti Business	Teek Sports	Enter tainm ent		Wo m on	Ho to a the W	ob N
Numbel Delhi	Gurgeon	Bangalore - H	Ayderabad Chenr	Ahmedabad	Allahabad B	Shubaneswar	Chandigarh	Gos
Mangatore My You are here: Ho			une Rajkot Ranc	thi Thane Surat	t Thiruvanant	hapuram \\	/adodara Vara	nasi

Source: MSIL's Internal Test Result

Delhi wastes Rs 11.5cr in traffic jams daily

60% Reduction in CO2 If Avg. Speed is Improved From 10 km/h to 30 km/hr



Infrastructure: Constraint For Technology

Way of Life!

MARUTI SUZUKI



Source: MSIL's Internal Test Result

Assumption: Avg. 12000 Km for 3 Million 4-Wheelers per Year

India Can Save 136 Million Liters of Fuel Per Year



Example: Japanese Approach To Reduce CO2



Source: Ministry of the Environment, JAMA

Note: About 90% of CO₂ emissions generated by Japan's transport sector are caused by road transportation. JAMA had set a Target of 31 Mtons CO₂ reduction from 2000 to 2006.

Japan Achieved 70% of CO₂ Reduction by Road Infrastructure (Improved Traffic Flow & Eco Driving)



Emissions Improvement Roadmap



Way of Life!



Vehicular Technology is becoming highly sensitive to Fuel Specifications

10ppm Sulfur is required for ensuring durability of Engine and After Treatment systems

Enactment of Inspection and Maintenance centers to ensure compliance



Early adoption of 10ppm Sulfur Fuel 0 Vehicle retirement policy 0 Strong Inspection and Maintenance setup 0 Source: ICCT[2012] Source: MSIL Internal 350 🛨 50 ppm sulphur Sulphur Removal mode Emissions (1000 metric tons) ABS2 BS3 300 250 aductions from lOx [g/km] 50 pp ppm fuels CO [g/km] 200 eductions from 0 ppm fuel 150 100 20000 100000 Mileage [km] Remaining Emissions! R 50 20000 40000 60000 80000 100000 Vehicle Mileage [km] 2010 2025 2015 2020 Deterioration of Emissions with Higher Sulfur 2030 Improvement of Fleet Emissions with only Fuel Consumer Awareness 0 Sulfur Reduction

MARUTI SUZUKI

Way of Life!

Vehicle Maintenance and OBD awareness

Overall Improvement of Emissions :

Improvement of Emissions from Existing vehicle Fleet

Fuel Improvement

0

12% Improvement per year in PM emissions is possible from existing vehicles with ULSF



Ethanol : Challenges

- Blending not mandatory in all places.
- Blending dependent on Supply condition of Ethanol
- Supply condition not favorable for even 5% blend.





In-consistent Ethanol content = Compromise on vehicle performance

E10 Case Study

Way of Life!



10 % of ethanol in gasoline will increase running cost for a consumer although it will help in reduction of Gasoline consumption by 6.2% approx.



Policy Intervention: For Future

Roadmap for Diversified Fuel Type For Transportation

National Electric Mobility Mission Plan 2020 soon





Encouragement of Hybrids

Scrappage schemes and Policy for Fuel efficiency and Emission Improvements



Policy for Fleet Renewal

Improve Public Transport

Policy Intervention is Must to Optimize the Consumption





Integrated Approach : Govt.'s/OEM's/End User



Working towards Sustainable mobility



Way of Life!



All Stakeholders to work for a common goal of sustainable mobility





Thank You for Your Attention

