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The Energy Conservation Act, 2001

- The Energy Conservation Act, 2001 (EC Act) had asked for steps to reduce the demand for energy in buildings;
- Amendment of the National Building Code to facilitate energy-efficient buildings;
- Adoption of innovative approaches; compulsory energy audits for all loads above 1 MW;
- Amendment of building bye-laws to enable use of solar water heaters, among other things.
- To “prescribe energy conservation building codes for efficient use of energy and its conservation in the building or building complex.”
The Energy Conservation Act, 2001 says that energy consumption of buildings needs to be measured and expressed as **energy consumption per square metre of area** and the location of the building.

BEE claims than an average commercial building in India has an EPI of **180-200 kWh/sqm/year**
According to Energy Conservation Act 2001, “energy conservation building codes” means the norms and standards of energy consumption expressed in terms of per square meter of the area wherein energy is used and includes the location of the building. All commercial buildings with connected electricity load of 100 kW or contract demand of 120 KVA are to adhere with ECBC.
Compliance methods

There are two ways in which a building may be proven to be ECBC compliant:
- **Prescriptive Method**
- **Whole Building Performance Method**

There are some mandatory requirements which must be followed irrespective of the choice of method of compliance.

The main difference between the two methods lies in the manner in which the energy performance of the building is ascertained.

In the former, the minimum thermal or performance values are prescribed for every component of the building and must be adhered to.

The latter method employs computer simulation programs. With the exception of the mandatory requirements, the remainder are adjudged considering the entire building and not individual components.
ECBC is meant for fully air-conditioned buildings. The ECBC user guide notes that “buildings with no HVAC system cannot use the WBP (whole building performance) method.”

The ECBC user guide further notes that “the WBP method is based on the assumption that non-residential buildings are both heated and cooled. Even if not installed initially, it is common for buildings lacking a heating or cooling system to have one retrofitted by future occupants.”

But buildings with no or limited HVAC systems will mostly rely on passive architecture to improve energy efficiency, and they will need the WBP approach to demonstrate compliance.

**GRAPH 6: THE CONDITIONING-EPI DISCONNECT**

Variations in EPI of the proposed model with variations in percentage of conditioned area within the proposed building across climatic zones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of air-conditioned built-up area</th>
<th>EPI kWh/sq m/year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33%</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54%</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85%</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CSE, 2013
Window to Wall ratio

- One of the key drivers of increased cooling load in new buildings and, therefore, high energy demand is the extensive use of glazing in building envelop.

- The ECBC caps the window-to-wall ratio (WWR), the measure of glazed portion of building envelop, at 60 per cent in the prescriptive approach, irrespective of climatic zone. The Whole Building Performance method has no such cap as the concerned clause is not mandatory.

- WBP doesn't allow modeling of sun shades to improve thermal performance, but gives concession on glass specifications if they are

---

**TABLE 2: SPECIFIC ENERGY DEMAND IN AC BUILDINGS**

More window area means less energy demand for lighting, but hikes overall energy use due to increased demand for cooling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Window type</th>
<th>Window area % to floor area</th>
<th>Climatic zone</th>
<th>U-value of the building(^1) (W/m(^2)K)</th>
<th>Energy demand per unit area (kWh/m(^2)a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single glazed</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Hot &amp; dry</td>
<td>1,716</td>
<td>324 (61-69)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single glazed</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Hot &amp; dry</td>
<td>1,892</td>
<td>400 (45-46)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single glazed</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Warm &amp; humid</td>
<td>1,713</td>
<td>380 (65-69)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single glazed</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Warm &amp; humid</td>
<td>1,887</td>
<td>418 (44-47)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single glazed</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Composite</td>
<td>1,711</td>
<td>339 (44-70)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single glazed</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Composite</td>
<td>1,883</td>
<td>376 (35-44)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single glazed</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>1,693</td>
<td>148 (68-72)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single glazed</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>1,847</td>
<td>163 (44-46)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single glazed</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cold &amp; cloudy</td>
<td>1,681</td>
<td>151 (74-79)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single glazed</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Cold &amp; cloudy</td>
<td>1,823</td>
<td>157 (46-54)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single glazed</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cold &amp; sunny</td>
<td>1,687</td>
<td>530 (65-67)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single glazed</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Cold &amp; sunny</td>
<td>1,835</td>
<td>600 (38-43)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *The values given in parenthesis are the annual lighting energy demands in various climatic zones and their variations depending upon the orientation of the window; they are included in the total energy demand.

**Compliance at 390 EPI**

The image shows a screenshot of the ECO nirman web tool, which is designed to check the conformance of building performance against various standards. The tool provides detailed results for a project, including:

- **Number of hours any zone outside of throttling range**: 272 vs. 263, with a difference of +9.
- **Number of hours any plant load not satisfied**: 0 vs. 0, with a difference of 0.
- **10.3.2(c) of ECBC Satisfied?**: Yes.
- **Annual Energy Use (kWh/yr)**: 383,141 vs. 387,342.5, with a difference of -4,201.5.
- **EPI (kWh/yr/m²)**: 383.14 vs. 387.34, with a difference of -4.2.
- **Mandatory Requirements have been satisfied?**: Yes.
- **Proposed EPI is less than or same as Standard?**: Yes.
- **Building is in Conformance with the ECBC?**: Yes.

The web tool is developed by CSE (Center for Science & Environment) with support from the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) under Award No. 3860-00-06-20123-00. The contents of the tool are the sole responsibility of IRC and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.
### BEE Star label for buildings

#### Table for Building Energy Star Rating Programme

**More than 50% air conditioned built up area**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climatic Zone: Composite</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPI (Kwh/sqm/year)</td>
<td>Star Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190-165</td>
<td>1 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165-140</td>
<td>2 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140-115</td>
<td>3 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115-90</td>
<td>4 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below 90</td>
<td>5 Star</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Climatic Zone: Warm and Humid**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EPI (Kwh/sqm/year)</th>
<th>Star Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200-175</td>
<td>1 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175-150</td>
<td>2 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-125</td>
<td>3 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125-100</td>
<td>4 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below 100</td>
<td>5 Star</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Climatic Zone: Hot and Dry**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EPI (Kwh/sqm/year)</th>
<th>Star Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>180-155</td>
<td>1 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155-130</td>
<td>2 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130-105</td>
<td>3 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105-80</td>
<td>4 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below 80</td>
<td>5 Star</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Less than 50% air conditioned built up area**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climatic Zone: Composite</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPI (Kwh/sqm/year)</td>
<td>Star Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-70</td>
<td>1 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-60</td>
<td>2 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-50</td>
<td>3 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-40</td>
<td>4 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below 40</td>
<td>5 Star</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Climatic Zone: Warm and Humid**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EPI (Kwh/sqm/year)</th>
<th>Star Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>85-75</td>
<td>1 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-65</td>
<td>2 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-55</td>
<td>3 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-45</td>
<td>4 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below 45</td>
<td>5 Star</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Climatic Zone: Hot and Dry**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EPI (Kwh/sqm/year)</th>
<th>Star Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-65</td>
<td>1 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-55</td>
<td>2 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-45</td>
<td>3 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-35</td>
<td>4 Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below 35</td>
<td>5 Star</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EPI calculation game

• The Energy Conservation Act, 2001 says that energy consumption of buildings needs to be measured and expressed as energy consumption per square metre of area and the location of the building.

• The Star Rating Programme of BEE includes all the energy purchased and/or generated by the building over a year. But calculation excludes area of the basement area, which is used for parking, from the total built-up area. The logic is basement is a sizeable and low energy consumption area and its inclusion will dilute the EPI of the whole building.

• GRIHA on the other hand excludes internal equipment loads from annual energy consumption and includes basement area used for parking in the total built-up area. The logic is internal equipments are function of end-user behaviour and building design should not be judged or penalised for it.
EPI calculation game

Let's assume a building with the following stats:

- Total builtup area (a) - 1000 sq m
- Basement area (b) - 400 sq m
- Total annual electricity consumption (c) - 150,000 kWh
- Total internal equipment load annual (d) - 30,000 kWh

- EPI as per EC Act/ECBC = \( \frac{c}{a} = 150 \) kWh/sq m/year
- EPI as per BEE star label = \( \frac{c}{(a-b)} = 250 \) kWh/sq m/year
- EPI as per GRIHA = \( \frac{(c-d)}{a} = 120 \) kWh/sq m/year
# EPI calculation game

## TABLE: COMPARISON OF MINIMUM ENERGY PERFORMANCE INDEX (EPI) FOR BUILDINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate classification</th>
<th>GRIHA minimum benchmark</th>
<th>BEE star label minimum benchmark (less than 50% AC)</th>
<th>BEE star label minimum benchmark (more than 50% AC)</th>
<th>GRIHA minimum benchmark</th>
<th>BEE star label minimum benchmark *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial buildings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>306.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>394.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warm and humid</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>438.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot and dry</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>262.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: BEE for 24-hours building measures EPI as Annual Average Hourly Energy Performance Index (AAhEPI) which is EPI divided by number of operational hours in a year. EPI = AAhEPI x 365 x 24 / 1000.

EPI formula is annual energy consumption in kWh divided by built-up area of the building. EPI of GRIHA and BEE are based on different formula:

**GRIHA:** EPI calculation excludes internal loads from annual energy consumption and includes basement area in built-up area.

**BEE star label:** EPI calculation includes all electricity generated and/or purchased in the annual energy consumption and excludes basement area from total built-up area.

**Source:** GRIHA manual and Bureau of Energy Efficiency
LEED-India performance data

IGBC that it has disclosed operational data of 50 out of 447 buildings that it has rated green. The data gives the names, details of location, rating, year of award, built-up area, and annual power and water consumption.

There are gaps and inconsistencies in the data sheet as well. For instance, one Mumbai-based platinum-rated corporate office building (CRISIL House) has the same numeric value for its built-up area and its annual electricity consumption!

Almost 22 per cent of rated corporate offices do not qualify for BEE star rating.

Almost 41 per cent of rated day use offices do not qualify for BEE star rating.

Almost 47 per cent of rated IT buildings do not qualify for BEE star rating.
GRAPH 3: ENERGY PERFORMANCE OF LEED-RATED DAYTIME USE BUILDINGS

Almost 59 per cent of the daytime use buildings are not performing as per their LEED rating.

**Note:** See Annexure for the names of the buildings

**Source:** Computed by CSE on the basis of LEED-India (IGBC) data
GRAPH 4: ENERGY PERFORMANCE OF LEED-RATED BPO BUILDINGS

Based on annual average hourly energy performance, about 52 per cent seem to be non-performing

**Note:** See Annexure for the names of the buildings. AAhEPI – Annual Average Hourly Energy Performance Index

**Source:** Computed by CSE on the basis of LEED-India (IGBC) data
Green rating of buildings

Voluntary green rating disseminates green building practices. Builds consumer support and awareness. Developers see ‘reputation’ advantage. This can influence property market. This can mainstream large number of green measures………….

Small scale: Only 447 buildings fully rated by LEED and 4 by GRIHA. Most buildings are registered and in the process of rating.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Rating system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Leadership in Energy &amp; Environmental Design (LEED-United States)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Green Globe Rating System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy Star (United States Environment Protection Agency)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Leadership in Energy &amp; Environmental Design — Canada (LEED-Canada)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Green Star</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Australia Greenhouse Building Rating (AGBR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Building Research Environment Assessment Method Consultancy (BREEAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>European Environment Agency rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>Building Environment Assessment Method - Hong Kong (HK-BEAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Comprehensive Assessment System for Building Environment Efficiency (CASBEE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>Ecology, Energy Saving, Waste Reduction and Health (EEWH) (Taiwan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>BCA Green Mark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippine</td>
<td>Philippine Green Building Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>Green Building Council (Korea)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>GRIHA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indian Green Building Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Incentive galore

**NOIDA, UP:** 5% extra FAR (extra built up area) to projects which sign up for green rating. 60% of all projects in NOIDA availing of this incentive. No official monitoring; no data.

**West Bengal** notified 10 per cent extra FAR as incentive for GRIHA an IGBC rating. Weak penalty for non-compliance.

**Bhubaneswar** grants extra 0.25 floor area ratio as an incentive to developers for ECBC compliance.

**Rajasthan and Punjab:** Allows 5% extra FAR for 4-5 star rated buildings etc.

**Union Environment Ministry and several state governments** allows fast track clearance to buildings that are pre-certified for GRIHA and LEED. There is no legal system to hold developer accountable completing rating or for performance for environmental clearance.

**Policy interest in green rating has made the review necessary**
But no Accountability
Other governments are working with clear targets for the sector to guide action.

**The US:** Energy Independence and Security Act requires all new and renovated buildings to reduce energy consumption by 55 per cent from 2005 baseline. All new commercial buildings to be zero net energy by 2025 and existing by 2050.

Legally binding benchmarking and discloser of annual energy and water consumption data to public (Austin, Washington, San Francisco, Boston)

Average level of electricity consumption has been developed for different building typologies. Without it the average baseline for the nation will continue to worsen overtime.

Several European cities and the state of Massachusetts Green Communities Act in the US – Require communities to establish their benchmarking baseline and use it as a starting point for a five year plan to reduce energy use by 20 per cent by 2020 to qualify for state funding for energy projects.
Visceral Cycle ....
Resources are being waste ....
nature is devasted to support
urban boom
An environmental overview of the housing sector

take any one of the proposed smart city for example

Let us consider a city that has about 1 million odd formal homes. Consider just about 75 sq metres per home (a very moderate figure). This amounts to about 75 million sq metres of built area. To build all these homes it would take:

- 0.75 million tonnes of construction debris generated
- 375 million cubic metres of concrete (conventional construction)
- 3000 million litres of water for construction
- 1 million cubic feet of wood for all the doors and windows
- 90 million tonnes CO2 would be released as part of this construction activity
Present Water Paradigm

Water Supply

Surface water

Ground Water

Wastewater Treatment Plant

30-50% loss in leakage

Recycle? Reuse?

How much is the treatment?

Wastewater (Blackwater + Graywater)
Rivers are being murdered
Twice over

1. Pattinapakkam Beach
2. Yamuna, Delhi
3. Ram Nadi, Pune
4. Mangroves, Navi Mumbai
5. NRI Complex, Mumbai
6. Keelkattalai Lake, Chennai
7. Coimbatore
Managing the material crisis
"Malba": Cost of development

"construction and demolition (C&D) waste" -- building materials, debris and rubble from construction, re-modelling, repair and demolition operation and disaster......
Obstructs Mobility

Lodhi estate, New Delhi
As waste lies waste ...... nature is devasted to support urban boom

• Sand mining triggers debate

• 2012: Supreme Court order on stronger regulations for minor minerals

• 2013: National Green Tribunal declared sand mining with environmental clearance illegal.

• Union Ministry of Housing and Urban poverty alleviation alerted Rajaya Sabha in 2012 about the shortage of building material especially aggregates. Holding up housing and civic infrastructure projects.....

• Need substitutes and strategies to reduce demand for naturally sourced material
Indian C&D Waste
36% - Sand/gravel
31% - Bricks
23% - Concrete (IFACT)

Different types of C&D Wastes

Construction and Demolition Waste

- Excavation Soil
  - Excavation Activity
    - Vegetable Soil
      - Soil
      - Sand
      - Gravel
      - Rock
      - Clay
  - Concretes
    - Concrete
      - Broken asphalt
      - Paving Stone
      - Sand
      - Pebble
      - Railway Traverse and Ballast
    - Concrete with Iron
      - Concrete without Iron
      - Roofing Construction and Roofing Cover (wood, tile, isolation material)
      - Wall materials (brick, briquet, stone)
      - Stucco
      - Gypsum
      - Other Materials
    - Wall materials (brick, briquet, stone)
      - Stucco
      - Sand
      - Pebble
      - Wood
      - Plastics
      - Ceramics
      - Metals
      - Paper and Carton

- Roadwork Wastes
  - Road, Railroad, Airport, Runway Construction, Renovation, Demolition Activity

- Demolition Wastes
  - Building Demolition Activities; such as residential, school, hospital, industrial buildings

- Complex Wastes
  - Construction, Excavation, Renovation, Refurbishment, Demolition Roadwork and Other Construction-Related Activities
Debris from disaster...........

Bhuj earthquake
C&D waste is grossly underestimated in India: No one really knows, how much?

“No estimates or even guesstimates exist for construction and demolition waste” in the country - Comptroller and Auditor General of India 2008

Even though built up area has increased dramatically official estimate of C&D Waste in India has not changed for over a decade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Authority</th>
<th>Estimate (million tonnes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Ministry of Urban Development</td>
<td>10-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Technology Information, Forecasting and Assessment Council (TIFAC), Department of Science and Technology</td>
<td>12-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Ministry of Environment and Forest</td>
<td>10-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Ministry of Urban Development</td>
<td>No estimates exist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What is the implication for C&D waste?

According to TIFAC estimates:
-- **New construction** generates about 40-60 kg per sq meter of build up area
-- **Repair and renovation** of existing buildings generates 40-50 kg per sq meter
-- **Demolition of buildings** generate 300-500 kg per sq meter

Additionally astounding amount of waste is generated from infrastructure projects – roads, flyovers, bridges etc
GUESS the ESTIMATES?

CSE has estimated the C&D waste based on TIFAC factors for C&D waste and Mckinsey estimates for trend in built up area in India. It finds:

-- Indian buildings in 2013 have generated more than 530 million tonnes – 44 times more than official estimates. More than other solid wastes

-- If C&D waste from infrastructure projects like road, dams, flyovers, bridges is also added India is already drowning.

A great part of this waste is also being used to illegally fill up urban water bodies and wet lands to reclaim land for more building construction……..
Brazil: 500kg per capita
India: 10kg per capita

C&D waste accounts for 13-67% of MSW, and the generation in the world ranges from 130 to 3000 kg per capita per year.
Small steps to make resource from waste

- C&D waste can be recycled and reused in construction and minimize environmental degradation and pressure on land. Matured technologies are available.

- Small steps in Delhi and Mumbai:
  - MCD-ILFS-IEISL initiative in Delhi: C&D waste is being recycled into aggregates which are converted to Ready Mix Concrete, pavement blocks, kerb stones and concrete bricks.
  - YUVA and CIDCO initiative in Navi Mumbai: This has recycled 1500 tonnes of C&D waste between 2002-06. But operations shut down as no policy and market support
  - There are small recycling units in Ahmedabad and Bengaluru

- No takers
Recycled Products from IL&FS Plant

Pavement Blocks and Kerbstones
What is coming in the way?

Indian laws permit only ‘naturally sourced’ material

- **No legal framework**: Municipal Solid Waste (Management and Handling) Rules 2000 only made a brief mention of C&D waste without laying down any guidelines for its management.
- **No standards for recycled products**: The BIS allows use of non-natural materials to be used for construction but doesn’t have any specific standard for recycled material, leading to major confusion among various agencies and developers. Most are abstaining from using recycled waste citing Indian standard specification related to aggregates for concrete state that these should be ‘**naturally sourced**’.
  - Only virgin materials (sand, aggregate) mined directly from nature can be used. This does not allow recycled or reused components.
  - Any use of recycled aggregate become ‘illegal’.
- **State construction agencies cannot include these material in their Schedule of Rates**
Technical studies for standard development exist. Speed up process

• BIS requires designated agencies to carry out research according to their criteria to assess suitability of material. – CBRI, CRRI, NCCBM etc are involved in such research.

• Studies exist. Need policy action. Eg. NCCBM and CBRI research has advanced to establish compliance with the IS codes. Other premium institutions like IITs have also carried our research and found recycled material fall within the range of IS norms.

• CRRI research and pilot projects demonstrates suitability of recycled material including curb stones for road building

• Leverage research findings to expedite certification of recycled material
Reforms in pipeline

• BIS has revised the IS: 383 the code for sand to allow patent substitution of natural sand and stone with recycled C&D waste. The new code is under print as of today.

• MOEF in the draft Municipal Solid Waste Rules 2015 has included a chapter on C&D waste.

• CPWD is using 8.5 lakh pavers and blocks made out of recycled C&D waste in the construction of Supreme Court extension.

• Leverage research findings to expedite certification of recycled material
Are interim measures possible?

- Explore other avenues to absorb alternative products until standards are notified.

- Building material and technology Promotion Council under MUHPA promote innovative building material and technologies under “performance Appraisal Certification Scheme”. Innovative and alternative material that are not covered by BIS can be certified after detailed evaluation. This has been done for products of bamboo for buildings.

- Revision of schedule of rates (SOR) by state construction agencies like CPWD: Using publicly available scientific study by premium institutes CPWD can revise its SOR to allow use of paver blocks and flooring tiles from recycled C&D waste. This will develop market for recyclers and reduce subsidy burden on civic bodies.

- Build on the precedence of fly ash but shorten the time frame.
Need proactive municipal action...

- Municipalities have powers to act proactively

- Solid Waste management Cell of Government of Maharashtra has included C&D waste in its action plan. Each city required to have collection and disposal of waste from bulk waste producers and construction debris


Implementation remains a challenge....
Global best practice shows the way

**Hong Kong:** C&D waste tax on developers lowers C&D waste at landfill by 60%. 100% waste utilisation is charged at $27 per tonne. More than 50% waste needing landfill disposal is charged at $125 per tonne. Revenue is used to subsidise recycling centres. Promoted efficient construction practices.

**Singapore** recycles 98 per cent of its C&D waste.

**South Korea:** C&D waste management part of Low Carbon Green Growth strategies. Have separate building codes for recycled asphalt concrete aggregates, recycled concrete aggregates, and road pavements. Effective recycling rate is 36% with a target of 45% by 2016.

**European Union:** EU 2004 regulations for Aggregates provides for “aggregates from natural, recycled, and manufactured material”. Some member countries report over 20% recycled material use.
International Best Practice

Recycled concrete was used in the construction of the South Seas apartment building in Greenpoint, Cape Town.

The Nalawala Hall, Fairfield City Council’s Sustainability Hub, incorporates the world’s first concrete load-bearing foundation slab which is 95 per cent recycled.

London Olympic 2012 Stadium used 30% recycled concrete in its construction.
The larger concern:

Rating should be used to push market towards super efficiency --- push the top line of performance

Not to push minimum standards that all should follow. For example -- a minimum energy efficiency requirement; rain water harvesting and in-situ waste management and treatment should be done by all…

Need discussion on resource performance....
Building Sense

Design

Conservation

Efficiency

Renewable
Let’s begin the discussions...