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## What are junk foods?

- "Junk food is the food that contains little or no protein, vitamin or minerals but is rich in salt, fat and energy" (as per NIN, ICMR)
- also now called as HFSS food - i.e. food high in fat, sugar and salt - as industry did not like its food to be called as 'junk'
- At times referred as calorie dense food or food with empty calories (e.g. SSBs)
- These are ultra-processed foods with several chemicals and preservatives
- Growing in popularity, they are often cheap, aggressively marketed - specially targeted at children, and are easily available almost everywhere


## Why is it important to label junk foods right?

- Junk foods have been globally linked with bad health
- Strongly associated with non-communicable diseases (NCDs)
- hypertension, diabetes, heart diseases (and even certain cancers); through precursor conditions like obesity, high blood sugar and high cholesterol
- disease burden due to unhealthy diet and these precursor conditions has increased from 10\% to $25 \%$ since 1990 (ICMR, 2016)
- Linked with double burden of malnutrition and obesity, specifically in poor countries
- Labelling - recognised as an important regulatory tool, apart from restricting marketing and availability in schools and universities


## As of today,

 even salt is not required to be labelled, though hypertension is recognized as a household phenomenon
## Current and proposed labelling law



CURRENT LAW
FSS (Packaging \& Labelling)
Regulations, 2011

- Energy (in Kcal)
- Protein (in g)
- Carbohydrate with sugar (in g)
- Total fat (in g)
- Trans fat (in g) [included affer law was amended in 2016]
- Saturated fat (ing) [included after law was amended in 2016]
These nutrients are to be declared at the back of pack per 100 g or ml or per serve
Serving size only in case of per serve declaration of nutrients


## FRONT-OF-PACK LABELLING

- 

NUTRITION LABELLING


No provision

No<br>provision



PROPOSED REGULATION
Draff FSS (Labelling and Display)
Regulations, 2019

- Energy (in Kcal) - Protein
- Carbohydrate with sugar •Total fat
- Trans fat
- Saturated fat
- Cholesterol
- Sodium
- Added sugar

These nutrients are to be declared at the back of pack per 100 g or ml or per serve
Their per serve contribution to RDA*, considering $2,000 \mathrm{Kcal}, 67 \mathrm{~g}$ of fat, 22 g of saturated fat, 2 g of trans fat, 50 g of added sugar, and $2,000 \mathrm{mg}$ of sodium will also be declared
Serving measure and number of servings

## It has two parts

Upper part declares the amount of energy, saturated fat, trans fat, added sugar and sodium per serve
Bottom part declares per serve percentage contribution to RDA (this block to be coloured red if nutrients, except calories, exceed the defined threshold)

Calorific value on the menu or display boards (food service establishments with outlets at 10 or more locations)

# FoP proposed in the draft Food Safety and Standards (Labelling and Display) Regulations, 2019 

Front of pack label


> Part 1 declares the amount of energy, saturated fat, trans fat, added sugar and sodium per serve
> Part 2 declares per serve percentage contribution to RDA (this block to be coloured red if nutrients, except
> calories, exceed the defined threshold)

> Will be RED if quantity in $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ per $100 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of the product exceeds the threshold

## Thresholds - conditions for marking red

| Sodium | Savoury snacks such as chips and namkeens, and instant noodles $-0.25 \mathrm{~g} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$, <br> soups and prepared foods such as burger, pizza, fries, sandwiches $-0.35 \mathrm{~g} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Added sugar | Value of energy (kcal) from added sugar is more than $10 \%$ of the total energy <br> provided by the $100 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of the product |
| Trans fat | Value of energy (kcal) from trans-fat is more than $1 \%$ of the total energy provided <br> by the $100 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of the product |

The proposed FoP of 2019 is a diluted version of what was proposed in 2018

## Analysis of salt, total fat, trans fat and carbohydrates in junk food - by EML (CSE’s lab); released in Dec 2019

- In 2012, a CSE lab study found high levels of fat, salt and sugar in junk foods; we pushed for strong labelling regulations thereafter
- But regulations not there yet. The proposed red label has been a point of contention and a reason for delay

We decided to check if junk foods would be RED based on the thresholds set

Analysis of salt, total fat, trans fat and carbohydrate in junk food

Investigators
Dr Mrinal Mallik
Mr Arvind Singh Senger and Mr Rakesh Kumar Sondhiya

December 2019


## We tested popular packaged and fast food samples from Delhi which are also sold across the country




## Lab results for packaged foods




## Analysis

But these are numbers - they confuse consumers
We wanted to know what this means for our health; our intake of nutrients - salt, sugar and fat

Two ways:

1. What does it mean in terms of Recommended Dietary allowance (RDA)
2. What does it mean in terms of the thresholds -- limits given by FSSAI - beyond which food is to be marked RED

## Approach to the analysis based on RDA

To know: How much of salt, sugar, fat you are allowed to consume in day is taken up by eating this food as a snack or a mesal?

- Lab results in g per 100 g for salt, total fat, trans fat and carbohydrate used to calculate intake based on the serving size (packaged food) or weight of the product (fast food) in $g$
- Serving size means an amount of food customarily consumed per eating occasion
- Intake per serve is compared with RDA / upper limit for a day of a nutrient and expressed as a percentage of it.
- RDA considered for a person requiring 2000 Kcal is 5 g for salt, 60 g for fat and 300 g for carbohydrate; 2.2 g limit is considered for trans fat (WHO, NIN and expert groups)
- Considering three meals and two main snacks in a day, RDA from each meal and a snack is considered $25 \%$ and $10 \%$ of RDA for the day, respectively

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Results of the analysis based on RDA

Packaged foods typically consumed as snacks lead to high intake of salt and fat; noodles exhaust maximum RDA of salt

Chips

- All chips provide more salt, fat or both than one can have from a snack
- One serve ( 30 g ) of Too Yumm Multigrain Chips had maximum salt ( 1 g ) which is double the allowance of salt from a snack


## Namkeens

- All namkeens provide salt as well as fat more than what could be sourced from a snack
- One serve (35g) of Haldiram's nut cracker exhausts $35 \%$ salt RDA and $26 \%$ fat RDA - much higher than one can have from one full meal


## Noodles

- Nestle Maggi Masala's one serve (70 g) exhausts over 50\% salt RDA (day); others lead to similar salt intake
- Noodles due to relatively bigger serving lead to highest salt intake among packaged foods


## Soup

Knorr Classic Thick Tomato soup exhausts ~28\% of salt RDA (day)


## Fast foods due to big portion size eat up almost all RDA for the day

## Burger

- Burgers with big portion size exhaust a significant portion of salt and fat RDA
- One KFC Chicken Classic Zinger with cheese will exhaust ~62\% salt RDA and $\mathbf{8 2 \%}$ fat RDA; Chicken classic zinger meal box (combo - burger and fries) exhausts $\mathbf{8 3 \%}$ salt and 120\% fat RDA.
- Burger King 'Cheese Whopper veg' has 3.5g salt and exhausts ~70\% of salt RDA and $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ fat RDA. Its chicken option will exhaust $\sim \mathbf{7 0 \%}$ of salt RDA and $\sim \mathbf{4 6 \%}$ fat RDA

Pizza

- Non-veg Supreme (Regular) by Domino's and Chicken Supreme (Personal) by Pizza Hut leave no scope of salt for the day (exhaust 99.9\% and 104\% RDA) . Similar is the case with Peppy Paneer cheese burst (Regular) by Domino's (exhaust 92\% RDA).
- Non-veg Supreme (Regular) also exhausts over 70\% fat quota and Chicken Supreme (Personal) has over 50\% of daily fat quota

Sandwich and wraps

- Sandwiches and wraps are also loaded with salt and fat.
- A 6 inch Chicken Seekh Kabab by Subway exhausts all salt limit (105\%) and about 65\% of fat. Paneer Tikka (6 inch) by Subway also exhausts over 70\% and 80\% of salt and fat intake.


## McDonald's - reality check !


"Stuck with ghiya-tori again? Make the $1+1$ combo you love"
Encouraging substitution with healthy meals

RDA exhausted by Chicken Maharaja Mac with 4.6 g salt 31.9g fat in it

92.1\%

Salt RDA*
exhausted

53.2\%
Fat RDA*
exhausted

- With a combo of McChicken, one loses nearly half of the daily quota of salt and fat in just one meal. It's the same situation for the McVeggie meal
- Big Spicy Paneer Wrap exhausts over $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ and $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ of salt and fat RDA


## Analysis

Two ways:

1. What does it mean in terms of Recommended Dietary allowance (RDA)
2. What does it mean in terms of the thresholds -- limits given by FSSAI - beyond which food is to be marked RED

## Approach to analysis based on thresholds

To know: To know if nutrients in a food cross the thresholds set by FSSAI - as a condition to label RED

- Lab results in 100 g used to check if values exceed thresholds for salt and total fat set by FSSAI as per $100 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$
- 0.25 g sodium per 100 g : savoury snacks and instant noodles
- 0.35 g sodium per 100 g : soup and fast foods
- 8.0 g of total fat per 100 g : savoury snacks, instant noodles, ]

Draft (Labelling and Display)
Regulations, 2019
soup and fast foods

- If it does, the food is to be labelled RED
- Further, the quantity as times of the threshold was also calculated

Draft (Labelling and Display) (depicted inside the warning label)

## Example:

|  | Sample | Lab result salt <br> $(\mathbf{g} / \mathbf{1 0 0 g})$ | Times <br> threshold | Label RED |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Salt | Pudina Treat Chips by <br> Haldirams | $2.28(0.90$ <br> sodium)* | $0.90 / 0.25=$ | 3.6 |
| Fat | Classic Nut Cracker by <br> Haldirams | 44.79 | $44.79 / 8.0=$ | 5.6 |

*Salt (g)/2.54 is sodium (g)
Adapted from a black octagon warning sign in Chile and few
 other countries - a new global best practice

## All chips would be red for salt and fat



- Serving size not mentioned on both Haldiram's chips; Too Yumm refers to a pictorial reference for serving suggestion (which depicts 4 chips). In fact, these attract consumers by offering extra chips


## All namkeens would be red for salt and fat



- Bingo! Mad Angles and Kurkure Masala Munch declare sodium; Haldiram's namkeens do not declare sodium or salt
- Haldiram's mentions serving size on the website and not on pack but provide extra namkeen; serving size not mentioned on Bingo! Mad Angles

- All noodles have very high salt content
- Among the tested
packaged foods, the salt intake is higher with noodles because of the bigger portion size (60g-

70 g ) as well as higher
per 100 g values

Threshold
Soup otherwise considered healthy can
Sodium: $0.35 \mathrm{~g} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$ lead to high salt intake


Knorr Classic Thick Tomato Soup

## SALT

FAT
11.71 .1

Knorr Classic Thick Tomato soup has
high content of salt


- Burgers due to their portion size (weight) lead to high intake of salt and fat


## Even smaller burgers will be red for salt and fat



- Despite similar values, big burgers like Chicken Maharaja Mac lead to much higher intake


## Fries would be red for fat



Fries (regular) by Burger

King
SALT

$1.0 \quad 1.7$

Fries (medium) by KFC


Fries from all three fast food restaurants have high fat

## Fried chicken would be red

Fried chicken sold by KFC is high in both salt and fat and will be Red for both.

## All pizzas would be red

Threshold
Sodium: $0.35 \mathrm{~g} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$ Fat: $8 \mathrm{~g} / 100 \mathrm{~g}$

Peppy Paneer cheese burst (regular) by Domino's



Highest fat



Chicken Supreme (personal) by Pizza Hut


Highest salt

- The actual intake of salt and fat is very high because of the big portion size


# All sandwiches and wraps would be red for both salt and fat 

## Threshold


$\rangle$

Big Spicy Paneer
Wrap by
McDonald's
shir
1.8



Perceived healthy; but has high fat and salt

- Portion size results in very high intake of salt and fat
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## Trans fat labelling

## Analysis

Ultra-processed foods in India have extreme amounts of salt and fat that severely affect health. Regulations are non-existent. Who is responsible?

## Analysis revealed misleading labels of trans fats in packaged foods

| Samples tested for frans fat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Declared } \\ & \text { value } \\ & (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{lo0g}) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Lab } \\ \text { result } \\ (\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{~g}) \end{gathered}\right.$ | Deviation (\%) | Almost all packaged foods were found to have much higher trans fats than declared on package by companies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PACKAGED FOODS |  |  |  |  |
| Lay's India's Magic Masala by Pepsico | 0.1 | 0.21 | 111.6 |  |
| Lay's American Style Cream and Onion Flavour by Pepsico | 0.1 | 0.27 | 168.8 |  |
| Uncle Chipps Spicy Treat by PepsiCo | 0.1 | 0.17 | 69.5 |  |
| Classic Salted Chips by Haldiram's | 0.1 | 0.33 | 230.0 |  |
| Pudina Treat Chips by Haldiram's | 0.1 | 0.23 | 127.6 |  |
| Too Yumm Mulligrain Chips Chinese Hot and Sour | 0.2 | 0.08 | (-)61.6 | Highest deviation |
| Classic Nut Cracker by Haldiram's | 0.1 | 0.56 | 460.1 |  |
| Aloo Bhujia by Haldiram's | 0.1 | 0.33 | 232.1 |  |
| Bingo! Mad Angles Delight Achaari Masti by ITC | 0.1 | 0.22 | 120.0 |  |
| Kurkure Masala Munch by PepsiCo | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 |  |

## High trans fat intake through most fast foods; but few mention correctly or declare at all



| Declared <br> value <br> $(\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{~g})$ | Lab <br> result <br> $(\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{~g})$ | Deviation <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.19 | - |
| 0 | 0.16 | - |
| 0 | 0.10 | - |
| 0 | 0.20 | - |
| 0.06 | 0.08 | 33.3 |
| 0.07 | 0.08 | 14.3 |
| 0.12 | 0.08 | $(-) 33.3$ |
| 0.07 | 0.05 | $(-) 28.6$ |
| 0 | 0.12 | - |
| 0 | 0.15 | - |
| 0.09 | 0.15 | 66.7 |
| 0 | 0.14 | - |
| ND. | 0.21 | - |
| ND | 0.22 | - |
| $<0.1$ | 0.23 | - |
| 0 | 0.23 | - |
| 0.22 | 0.24 | 9.1 |

- Burger King and KFC mention 'Og' but Cheese Whopper veg and Classic Chicken Zinger with cheese lead to high trans fat intake (>20\% of daily limit).
- Domino's and Subway do not mention. But both pizza sold by Domino's exhaust over $30 \%$ limit along with the Chicken Supreme pizza of Pizza Hut.
- Both Subway sandwich cross
$25 \%$ of the limit along with


# Delay and Dilution Labelling and Display Regulations 



## Saga of delay and dilutions: 7 years and 3 committees; what we have today is a weak draft waiting to be notified for 7 months <br> April 2018 onwards: phase of delay and dilution

FSSAI sets up 11member expert committee led by D Prabhakaran to assess the consumption of fat, salt and sugar in India and its health impacts

FSSAI puts up draft FSS (Labelling and Display) Regulations, 2018.
Requires declaration of salt. Proposes front-ofpack labelling of calorie, total fat, total sugar, trans fat and salt. Provides thresholds for red colour coding

March 2013-April 2018: phase of delay

FSSAI again sets up a panel, led by B Sesikeran, former director of National Institute of Nutrition, to review the draft regulations in view of industry's concerns on FoP labels. Committee's suggestions were not made public

FSSAI issues draft notification FSS (Labelling and Display), Regulations, 2019 with severely diluted FoP labelling. Total fat is replaced with saturated fat, salt with sodium, total sugar with added sugar.
RDA of added sugar kept same as that of total sugar ( 50 g ) Allows fast food companies 25\% deviation from labelled quantities

## Dilution from 2018 draft labelling regulations



- Compliance to thresholds for FoP extended from two to three years
- Fast foods allowed a deviation of upto $25 \%$ in labelled nutrients


## Dilution favours the industry

## Sodium instead of salt

- Sodium is hardly understood by people in India
- Saturated fat instead of total fat
- Only addresses a part of the problem
- May develop a wrong perception that other fats are not unhealthy; Ignores that all fats contribute equally to calorie
- Does not address obesity among growing children - resulted by total fats; focuses on people at later stage of lives instead of children (key target group of fast foods)
- Added sugar instead of total sugar
- Only addresses a part of the problem; added sugar is a subset
- High RDA (50g) for added sugar makes thresholds weak (along with exemption to beverages offering 80 Kcal per serve will help beverages with added sugar avoid red labelling)

In addition, the proposed FoP in India is not only unfriendly but makes it complicated for consumer - unlike new global best practices of warning symbols


## Serious design issues

- Contains complicated numbers that makes it difficult to understand
- Gives mixed message w.r.t. non-red blocks. FSSAI has also kept the option of additional colours which could be green and make the label ineffective
- Draft does not specify the size and placement of the label

- Chile and Peru - Black octagonal warning labels with white outline that differentiates the label; Icon-based warning labels proposed in Israel.
- No complex numbers
- Emphasis laid on making labels noticeable by defining size of label and placement on the upper panel of the label


## CSE recommends warning labels

Junk food is no less than danger; people must be warned about both packaged and fast food through noticeable warning symbols

- FSSAI must notify the labelling regulations
 based on the FoP nutrients proposed in the 2018 version i.e. salt, total fat, and total sugar instead of sodium, saturated fat and added sugar
- Consider a red octagon 'High in’ symbols that are easily interpreted and helps transcend the literacy and language barriers
- Red warning labels should also be applicable to fast food


## Thank you
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