



JC Daniel & Salim Ali



#### K S Sankhala



R.W.Burton,Phythian-Adams, Morris, Stracey, E.P. Gee H.Abdulali, J.A. Singh,

### **Project Tiger**

Tiger Task Force (1970) predicted that tiger will be extinct soon if hunting and poisoning will continue.

By 1972, about 1,827 tigers were alive.

Project Tiger was launched on 1st April 1973, with 9 Tiger Reserves as source populations.

Tiger population increased to  $\sim$ 3000 (Late 80's) and prey as well as habitat was secured.

Trade in Tiger Parts By the 1990s, tigers began to vanish rapidly.

Poaching for the traditional Chinese medicine trade had hit the Subcontinent, sparking what was being called "the second tiger crisis.









Sariska Tiger extinction caused wide scale criticism and Tiger Task Force was setup in 2005.

Beginning of new era for tiger conservation by changing old traditional beliefs of managing tigers.

Total forest cover in India at present is 21.7%. 5% area is Under Protected Area (870 PAs) Average size ~200 sq km

Nine reserves covered an area of 9,115 sq km in 1973 which increased to 50 Tiger Reserves covering 71,027.10 sq. km at present.

Average size ~1400

### Till 2017 >Rs 500 crore were spent.













The extinction probability was estimated to be 26% (carrying Capacity = 15 tigers) with 1-2 tigers poached each year.



0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

0.9 0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2 0.1

survivorship Pprobability

#### Mining

Most mining districts have more than 30% of their area under forest

Average forest cover of the 50 major mineral producing districts is 28 per cent. The national average of forest cover in each state is 20.6%.



### **Tiger Extinctions**

### **Poor Monitoring Mechanism**



# **STATUS OF TIGERS IN INDIA-2018**

967

# **Tiger Status Assessment & Monitoring**

- Ratified by the Tiger Task Force (2005) &
- Peer Reviewed in 2006 by International Experts Appointed by IUCN
- 1. Ground Surveys
  - a. Carnivore
  - b. Prey
  - c. Habitat
  - d. Human Impact
  - 2. Remotely sensed data
- 3. Camera Trap data







# **Technological Advancements**

CARNIVORE SIGN SURVEY

Record direct sighting with age & sex info Indirect signs



#### HERBIVORE DENSITIES

Record animal sightings with transect details Density analysis



![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

5,22,996 km effort in 3,81,400 km<sup>2</sup> Forests surveyed 88,985 km<sup>2</sup> Tiger Occupied Area

• Tiger presence

Forest

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Camera Trapping

### 26,838 CT locations 34,858,623 wildlife images 76,651 Tiger photo-captures

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

Tiger scat DNA based ID

Tiger photo-capture

Forest

Camera trap coverage

![](_page_14_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **Artificial Intelligence & Machine Learning**

- CaTRAT tool in MSTrIPES
- Auto-identification of species
- Archive photographs and metadata
- Output camera trap data for analysis of abundance.

| State Ut              | tarakhand             |                        | Division       | Rajaji National Par 💌 Rar                        | nge Chilawali | +         | Beat         | Guleriasot                        | <ul> <li>Transect</li> </ul> | 1 -               | Replicate 1            |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|--|---------------|-----------|--------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Observer N            | Name T                | arun Singh             | Date           | : 02-02-2014 - Start                             | Time 0600 🗄   | End Time  | 1000         | 🕆 Walk 2.2                        | ▼ Transect E                 | learing _12.5     | Weather Clear          |
| Transect Fo           | orest Type            | Himal                  | ayan Moi 🔹     | Transect Terrain Type                            | Undulating    | •         |              |                                   |                              |                   |                        |
| Start Lon<br>End Long | igitude [<br>gitude [ | 77 938403<br>77 958903 |                | Start Latitude 30.15430<br>End Latitude 30.16670 | 6             | Check     | Degre        | egrees Minutes Sec<br>e 30 Minute | onds<br>10 Second            | De De             | egrees Decimal Minutes |
| Use TAB B             | Button of K           | leyboard I             | to navigate th | rough Grid                                       |               |           |              |                                   | An                           | gular Distance is | in matera (m)          |
| SI_No                 | Anima                 | I_Type                 | Time           | Adult_with_young                                 | Young_Seen    | Forest_Ty | pe           | Terrain_Type                      | Animal_Bearing               | Angular_Dista     | nce Remarks            |
| 1                     | Chital                |                        | 0800           | 4  | 2             | Himalayar | Mois_        | Undulating                        | 102.1                        | 21.0              |                        |
| 2                     | Gaur                  |                        | 0830           | 5  | 4             | Himalayar | Mois_        | Undulating                        |                              |                   |                        |
| 3 Barasingha          |                       | 0915                   | 6              | 4  | Himalayan M   | Mois_     | Mois_ Valley | 85.0                              | 10.0                         |                   |                        |
| 1                     |                       |                        |                |  |               |           |              |                                   |                              |                   |                        |

### Machine Learning, Computing Power

![](_page_15_Picture_7.jpeg)

| METADATA  |                   |  |  |  |
|-----------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Name      | Panthera tigris   |  |  |  |
| Date time | 17-03-2015; 08:51 |  |  |  |
| Lat       | 22.15.37.3        |  |  |  |
| Long      | 80.31.30.09       |  |  |  |
| Block id  | 006               |  |  |  |
| UID       | 2215986742852     |  |  |  |

![](_page_15_Picture_9.jpeg)

# **Fingerprinting Tigers**

### Software Program EXTRACT COMPARE

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

ExtractCompare V1.22

From 76,651 tiger images 2468 Tigers (excluding cubs) Identified

Population Estimation, Detect poaching & trade routes, Dispersal and Demography.

![](_page_16_Figure_7.jpeg)

ninned stripes on wavelet decom

binary image using 'liquic Save extract

### **Double Sampling: Ground Samples & Camera Traps**

522,996 km effort in 3,81,400 km<sup>2</sup>

26,838 CT locations 34,858,623 images 76,651 Tiger images 2967 Unique Tigers

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

Forest

Tiger scatlocation for DNA based ID

Camera traps with Tiger capture

Camera trap coverage

Forest

Largest Wildlife Survey Ever in the World

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Joint Distribution Spatially Explicit Capture Recapture (SECR)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Tiger Populations and their Extents in India (2018-19)

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **National Tiger Status Assessments**

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Importance of Source Populations**

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Corbett TR Source in Western Shivalik-Gangetic Plains**

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

Characteristics of Sources Good Prey Density High Density Tigers >> 100 Population Recruitment >>> Mortality High Turnover Rate At or close to Carrying Capacity

## **Creating Space for Tigers- Relocation of Habitation**

### Incentivized voluntary relocation @ Rs 1,000,000 / per adult

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

### 34,312 km<sup>2</sup> of Critical/ Core inviolate Areas legally mandated

#### Rs \*10,000,000

![](_page_23_Figure_6.jpeg)

14572 families Relocated from 180 villages

![](_page_23_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

hani Dehradun 248001 Uttarakhand India

# Inviolate Area

For 20 breeding tigresses -800-1000 km<sup>2</sup>

Total Population in the Core Tigers = Male 8 Cubs < 1 Year = 10 -15 Cubs 1-2 Year = 10 -15

Buffer  $-1000-3000 \text{ km}^2$ 2-3 Year olds = 10 -15 3-4 Year olds = 10 -15 Old tigers & Surplus breeding age Tigers = 10-15

Tot Pop = 75 – 100 Tigers

![](_page_25_Figure_5.jpeg)

### Relevance of Core Size and Corridors for Metapopulaton

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

Melghat

59A

86

🌱 Tadoba

69

44

864

# Landscape level planning

Kan

Achanakmar

12A

Optimal Development Strategies – Incorporating and Prioritizing Conservation, Sociological, & Econom Concerns on equal footing.

Data SIO, NOAA, U.S. Navy, NGA, GEBCO © 2012 Cnes/Spot Image © 2012 Google

34

**@**20

# PREY BASE BUILDING.....

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Prey Recovery**

- □ Village relocation.
- □ Habitat management.
- Law enforcement.
- Ex. Kuno, Kanha, Pench, Madumalai, Nagarhole, Corbett.

![](_page_28_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Translocation**

- Regaining lost species
- Upgrading protection.
- Prey Augmentation.
- Ex. Gaur reintroduction in Bandhavgarh.

![](_page_28_Picture_13.jpeg)

### Augmentation

- □ Mass breeding.
- □ Sustaining populations.
- Law enforcement.
- Ex. Barasingha Breeding Program at Kanha

Weed Invasion level

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **MSTrIPES** For Law Enforcement & Monitoring

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Smart patrol
- Sensitization
- Law enforcement

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

- Systematic Monitoring
- Spatio-tempora changes
- Species managem

![](_page_31_Picture_9.jpeg)

- Spatial conflict database
- Verification
- Mitigation & compensation

Modular program 3 Mobile apps, desktop and central server

# Priority Tiger Conservation Areas

Populations having

- Demographic & Genetic
   Viability
   Potential Demographic
   & Genetic Viability
- Ecological Significance

Incorporates Source Population, Tiger Occupancy Potential, Forest Patch Size and Connectivity.

![](_page_32_Figure_5.jpeg)

### Future of Tiger Conservation

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Sustain and improve protection
- Maintain connectivity
- Improve habitat in ~40 Tiger Reserves
- Adopt Green infrastructure development program

![](_page_33_Figure_6.jpeg)

Our objective is not to contrive glorified Safari Parks, for the tiger or for that matter our wildlife in general. Our endeavor, on the contrary, must be to retain the pristine or climax conditions of these areas with all the wonder and variety of its living forms, not just as a primordial relic of a distant past but as a dynamic and vital requirement for a quality of life that the most enlightened level of human thinking can conceive.

H.M Patel Union Minster and Chairman Steering Committee, Project Tiger

# **Need for Monitoring**

### Assess Success of Conservation Effort

# Prioritize Conservation Investment

# Ecologically Sustainable Development

![](_page_35_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Three publications which criticized All India tiger Monitoring

| 5.0 - 11-                                    | a da la Fran  |   | 1  | -                              |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Meth   | oas in Eco  | logy and Evo  | olution  | British Ecological Society     |  |  |  |
| Methods in Eco                               | ology and Evolution 2015, 6   | 6,1055-1066   | doi: 10  | .1111/2041-210X.12351          |  |  |  |
| An exa                                       | mination of   | findex-calibrat   | tion experimen   | its:                           |  |  |  |
| counti                                       | ng tigers at  | macroecologi  | cal scales   |                                |  |  |  |
| Arjun M. Go<br>and David                     | opalaswamy <sup>1, 2, 3</sup> *,<br>W. Macdonald <sup>1</sup>           | Mohan Delampady <sup>4</sup> , K. I                                   | Jllas Karanth <sup>2, 3, 5</sup> , N. Sa               | mba Kumar <sup>2, 3</sup>      |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |                                |  |  |  |
| Journal of<br>Aggicalmush,<br>Biological and | Journal of Agricultur   | al, Biological and Environmental<br>22, Issue 2, pp 111–139   Cite as | <u>Statistics</u>                                      |                                |  |  |  |
| Environmental<br>Statistics                  | Bayesian I  | Methods for Est   | imating Animal   | Abundance at                   |  |  |  |
|  | Large Spatial Scales Using Data from Multiple Sour                      |   |  |                                |  |  |  |
|  | Authors   | Authors and affiliations  |  |                                |  |  |  |
|  | Soumen Dey, Mohan I   | Delampady 🖂 , Ravishankar Para  | meshwaran, N. Samba Kumar, Arj                         | un Srivathsa, K. Ullas Karanth |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |                                |  |  |  |
| Cor  | nservation  | Letters   |  |                                |  |  |  |
| A journa                                     | al of the Society for Con   | servation Biology   |  | Open Access                    |  |  |  |
| VIEWPO                                       | DINT  |   |  |                                |  |  |  |
| Defer<br>in Tie                              | Defensible Inference: Questioning Global Trends<br>in Tiger Populations |   |  |                                |  |  |  |
| Abishek                                      | Harihar <sup>1,2</sup> , Pranav Cha                                     | anchani <sup>3,4</sup> , Milind Pariwaka                              | m <sup>5</sup> , Barry R. Noon <sup>3,4</sup> , & Johr | n Goodrich <sup>1</sup>        |  |  |  |

# Oxford Study 2015- Karanth & collegues

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

R2 (Karanth)=0.0001

R2 (Adjusted)=0.34

Improvement in relationship is 3400%

Fig. 5. Plot of the relationship between tiger sign encounter rates and estimated tiger densities from 8 different sites in India (from Karanth & Kumar 2005). The line represents the estimated regression line.

# Recipe for cooking data

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Scientific ethics code

- 1) Unrepresenti ve samples used
- 2) Misinterpreti ng results
- Selective reporting of data
- 4) Unsupported conclusion
- 5) Sensationalis ed headlines

### Response: Mathematistry may get one in trouble if ecology is not in sight

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 1 The difference in the number of individual tigers photo-captured (x-axis) versus percent difference in estimated density (y-axis) using a maximum like inood spatially explicit capture-recapture formulation (MLSECR), between 2014 and 2010 at sites in India. Note that three sites, Kanha II\_12), Pakke (I\_24), and Nameri (I\_25) Tiger Reserves, could not be directly compared as sampling frame in 2010 differed significantly at these sites. For site identification numbers, refer Table S2.

Harihar Interpretation: Larger the difference in tiger photocaptures higher will be the difference in density.

Observation: Larger the difference in number of tigers photocaptured smaller The difference in density.

More complex interaction going on which include capture probability and sampled area. Robust abundance method should be invariant to unique individuals captured. Harihar et al: Increase in trap nights results in increase in tiger population.

AITM: There is no relationship between (R<sup>2</sup>=0.11) trap nights and unique tigers captured.

Harihar et al: Increase in unique tigers will result in increased density.

AITM: There is no relationship between (R<sup>2</sup>=0.14) unique tigers captured and density.

![](_page_40_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_0.jpeg)

TAMIL NADU

KERALA

| Occupancy  | Bayesian    | AITM          |
|--|-------------|---------------|
| Sites  | 205         | 861           |
| Cell Area (sq km)                                    | 188         | 100           |
| Walks  | 205         | 1,170         |
| Length (km)  | 4174        | 49,900        |
| Naïve Occupancy %                                    | 19.6        | 34.26         |
| Detection Prob. %                                    | 17 (se 17)  | 39.2(se 0.89) |
| Estimated<br>Occupancy                               | 66          | 34.6          |
| Area Covered (sq<br>km)                              | 38,540      | 49,900        |
| Tiger area (sq km)                                   | 14,076      | 20,800        |
| Camera Trap  |             |               |
| Units  | 71          | 230           |
| Population   | 391 (se 57) | 300 (se 20)   |
| Sampling interval<br>between occupancy<br>and camera |             |               |
| trapping   | 7 yrs       | <1 yr         |

Legend

Probability of Tiger occupancy 0.01 - 0.25

> 0.25 - 0.50 0.50 - 0.75

> 0.75 - 0.90 0.90 - 0.99

Protected area boundary State boundary Corridor **District boundary** 

## Tiger Sources & Potential Habitats

- Source Population within each landscape with Habitat Connectivity essential for longterm Tiger Survival
- Habitat available for expanding Tiger
   Population : requires
   conservation
   investments

![](_page_42_Figure_3.jpeg)